From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection: Planning Application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A , MOD Bicester
Date: 10 September 2026 19:37:53

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a resident of the Bicester area to object most strongly to the above Planning Application. This is an ill thought-out proposal and attempts to railroad this through with unseemly haste are completely undemocratic as evidenced by the extremely short representation period of 11 days which is well short of the 21 day minimum period which applies to normal planning applications under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The cavalier and slapdash approach is further demonstrated by basic errors such as incorrect referencing of the local road B4011 road number.

I would particularly draw your attention to the following:-

1. A similar proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector in 2003. This previous proposal was for approximately 750 people but the present proposal is for approximately 1250 people. No evidence has been presented to justify the feasibility of this major change of heart.In particular essential infrastructure requirements in respect of electricity and wastewater have not been confirmed.
2. The Transport Assessment has identified the problems of a lack of footpaths or lighting along the B4011 which has , no doubt, contributed to the history of collision injuries which have occurred along this road.
3.Bicester is already struggling to cope with highly significant growth in recent years which is plainly causing significant traffic snarl-ups around the town.Indeed, there are a number of major current planning applications in the locality which will, if approved, exacerbate this even further. The ill-conceived proposal to shut one of the main roads through the town to accommodate rail traffic on the East-West railway system is another example of how the needs of the local community are simply not understood or respected by central planning authorities. One wonders if anyone has actually looked at the totality of all these plans at all !

I urge the complete rejection of this application.

Yours Sincerely,

Hugh Thomson.

[redacted]